

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/055,101	01/22/2002	Steven G. Goebel	8540G-000096	9631	
27572	7590 08/26/2003				
•	DICKEY & PIERCE,	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303	ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND			
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745	5	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<i>i</i> t ,
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/055,101		GOEBEL ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Raymond Alejan	dro	1745	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower y within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONEI	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	January 2002 .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-fir	nal.		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims				erits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	۱.	••		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	ition.	. :	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•	•	· · '	
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requireme	ent.	•	
	on Papers	, ,		•	
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) dbjecte	ed to by the Exar	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approve	d b)∐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office act	ion.		
12) 🔲 🗆	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved in Applicatio	on No	
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		је
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	olication).
) The translation of the foreign language proaction. The translation of the foreign language proaction.				
Attachment		•			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15	
I.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 5	

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

General Species:

Species 1: A fuel cell processing system without fuel cell;

Species 1: A fuel cell processing system comprising a fuel cell.

In addition, further restriction is required. Thus, applicant must elect <u>one</u> of the above species and <u>one</u> of the <u>additional species</u> below:

Additional Species:

Species A: the fuel processor system according to the first embodiment (Figure 1);

Species B: the fuel processor system according to the second embodiment (Figure 2);

Species C: the fuel processor system according to the third embodiment (Figure 3);

Species D: the fuel processor system according to the fourth embodiment (Figure 4).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,101

Art Unit: 1745

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 am - 7:00 pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/055,101

Art Unit: 1745

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Raymond Alejandro

Examiner

Art Unit 1745